## **Etrnal Son(s) Part Two**

## John R Gavazzoni

## The following was first published by Greater Emmanuel International

February, 2001

## **Thousand Oaks, CA**

The gospel of Jesus Christ is magnificently inclusive at its very core. It permits no elitism or exclusivity; that is, its embrace is universal and absolute. Mere religiosity is obsessive-compulsive in its determination to limit the scope of who is "accepted in the beloved" (Eph. 1:6 KJ). The divine good news of Christ's saving accomplishment in death and resurrection is the happy tidings that the Father's will has been done in Christ and so when we speak of the gospel we speak of that which issues forth from a Father's heart infused with the nature of His Fatherhood.

If His family is smaller in number than the human family, then we have a not-so-good news. This truth is veiled both because of the veil over our hearts and the veil that lies upon the text of Holy Writ. Without the ministry of the great Teacher, the Spirit of truth, the parabolic principle rules; that is, the truth is there in the text but its meaning is locked away from the mind of the one to whom the Father has not  $\underline{YET}$  chosen to reveal either any or all of the meaning of that which characterizes the Person of God---- Fatherhood.

A principle that helps unlock the mystery of the gospel, as God sovereignly orders, is the principle that I shall call "The Law of Particularity" or "The Law of Especiality." The word "particular" can be used to refer to something in a way that sets it completely apart from the "general" or it can be used to speak of something distinct within a greater whole without it being of an absolutely different genre. It is in the latter sense that I use the word "particularity."

Less explanation is needed for "especiality" for something may be especially so without being exclusively so. What I am saying is that scripture speaks of things being particularly and specially true for an elect company who are representative of all. It may be said, and scripture does declare, that those who believe in Christ are the children of God and members of His family, but that does not mean necessarily that they are so exclusively, and in fact it does not say that.

Some may enjoy sonship with particularity and in a special sense without depriving others of legitimate claim to the same relationship. For those who are with me or even ahead of me at this point you probably are thinking of the scriptures that express this so beautifully, namely; **I Tim. 4: 10** where we read, "For it is for this we labor and strive because we have fixed our hope on the living God who is the Savior of all men, especially of believers"

(NAS). And I Jn. 2:2, "and He Himself is the propitiation for our sins; and NOT for our sins ONLY, but also for those of the whole world" (NAS. Emphasis added).

Here we have stated what <u>IS</u> God's relationship with <u>ALL</u>, not merely what might be if all respond to Him correctly. As impressive as these verses are someone might say, "But they only refer to Saviorhood, not Fatherhood." Well then, I shall call upon whatever expository skills our Lord has graced me with, though my ministry is more in the vein of the prophetic in the sense of insight into the purpose of God.

Go with me to Acts 17:26-29a where we find Paul in the Mars Hill auditorium instructing the intellectuals who gathered there daily for philosophical discussion and debate. Picking up the thread of his presentation before these learned men, we hear him saying in regard to all men and their relationship to God, "And He made from one [common origin, one source, one blood] all nations of men to settle on the face of the earth, having definitely determined [their] allotted periods of time and the fixed boundaries of the habitation (their settlements, lands, and abodes), So that they should seek God, in the hope that they might feel after Him and find Him, although He is not far from each one of us. For in Him we live and move and have our being; as even some of your [own] poets have said, For we are also His offspring. Since then we are God's offspring..." (AMP).

Follow Paul's argument before these pagan worshippers as he drives home his point in the time they allow him. He wants to lay a foundation upon which he might preach to them about the resurrection of Christ and lead them to repentance. Having asserted that "we" (that is they and himself, with all of humanity) have their being in God, he explains the nature of our being by quoting one of their own poets who had said of God "For we are also His offspring." Then to further establish the thought he himself affirms the same by saying, "Since then we are God's offspring......"

It must be noted that the Greek word "Genos" is used here which clearly refers to a race or family denoting offspring, coming from a root which means the offspring of men or animals. If Paul had wanted to merely convince them that they were created by God he would have said so, but he specifically uses a word having to do with birth and applies it to their and his and all men's relationship with God. I find it appalling that the study notes in one of my bibles explains this as meaning that God is the creator of all when the apostle has clearly preached that God is the Father of all.

In the exposition of that passage it is clear that He <u>MADE</u> all men of one blood in their physical, earthly existence having <u>BIRTHED</u> them in their spiritual existence by His one Seed, Christ. Now this sonship was <u>ESPECIALLY</u> true of Paul because he, as all believers in this age, had experienced regeneration, had been called out of this world's system and brought to faith in Christ and made an emissary of God's grace, which by the way is another example of the <u>Law of Especiality</u> in that Paul was chosen from his mother's womb as a testimony to God's grace, not to the exclusion of other believers, but as an example of what is true of all who believe.

The evangelical, conservative and fundamental church has so reacted to the humanistic spin put on the universality of God's Fatherhood that they have abandoned the truth and turned sonship into an elitist club composed of those who can boast that they did what was necessary in obedience to the gospel in order to become children of God. Now that

statement calls for explanation since the gospel, as it has been preached to us, has been more man-centered than God-centered.

We are children of God firstly, not because we have acquired a spiritual experience but firstly because God, within Himself, has an eternal loving, self-knowing intercourse that birthed His Son and his many sons in Him. That eternal, primal origin becomes experiential to us who are dead in trespasses and sins in the flesh when we are reborn by His Spirit, but please dear brother and sister, note that it is a rebirth, a resurrection from the grave of our alienation from our Father who first birthed us in Christ before we ever needed regeneration and before the created and physical dimension was added to our being (Eph. 1:4).

It is a renewal in time of that relationship which is eternal and it is that identity and relationship that the Father holds to His bosom in His foreknowedge and predestination of us (Rom. 8:29). WE ARE TO BECOME AS GLORY-TRANSFIGUED, DUST-FORMED CREATURES THAT WHICH WE ETERNALLY ARE, HAVE ALWAYS BEEN, AND ALWAYS WILL BE OUT FROM THE LOINS OF OUR FATHER.

Spiritual death has not essentially altered that relationship but it has alienated us from the Father who refuses to be alienated from us. More explanation is needed now for those whose minds have been corrupted by a misunderstanding of Jesus words, "You are of your father the devil, and you want to do the desires of your father. He was a murderer from the beginning, and does not stand in the truth, because there is no truth in him. Whenever he speaks a lie, he speaks from his own nature; for he is a liar, and the father of lies" (Jn 8:44, NAS).

This verse has been used to bludgeon sinners in an attempt to make them see their need of salvation and in God's sovereignty even the element of misinterpretation could not hinder the grace of God in turning them to Christ. But, in all the years I spent as an evangelist and pastor, I never heard anyone explain Jesus' balancing statement found in Matt. and Luke and here I will quote **Matthew's version in Chapter 7 verse 11 (NAS)** and I point out to the reader that He is speaking to yet unregenerate men, "If you then, being evil, know how to give good gifts to your children, how much more shall your Father who is in heaven give what is good to those who ask Him."

It is a pseudo-evangelical dictum that one cannot claim God as Father without the experience of the new birth but here Jesus tells them God IS their Father and in Luke's version they are encouraged to ask for the good gift of the Holy Spirit. They are encouraged to look to Him, who is their eternal Father to confirm that relationship by the gift of the Holy Spirit. I call your attention also to the fact that Jesus never, in all of his severity with the religious leaders, never predicted that they would be finally and forever barred from His kingdom but rather says in Matt. 21:31b, "Truly I say to you that the tax-gatherers and harlots will get into the kingdom of God BEFORE you" (NAS. Emphasis added).

We must take note that when Jesus said to the Pharisees "You are of your father, the devil," he was dealing with them according to how he had essentially described them on other occasions, as hypocrites, which simply means, "play-actors." These were men who were so devoid of any awareness of true spiritual relationship with God as Father that they had spent their lives building facades of self-righteous personas so that their whole lives lacked any reality at all and simply were lives lived out on a religious stage with a carefully

written script.

It was these "characters" birthed by the lie of Satan that Jesus attacked so vehemently planting a seed that would eventually cause the true person in Christ to burst forth cracking and destroying the false persona of religious imagination. Have you noticed that "your father, the devil" passage was aimed at upright, religious men and yet we usually aim that barrage at poor helpless out and out sinners, totally missing where Jesus was coming from.

"But as many as received Him, to them He gave the right (or authority) to become children of God, even to those who believe in His name" (Jn 1:12. NAS). The wording of that verse puzzled me for many years even after I had come to understand sonship with some degree of maturity. I couldn't understand why in that Gospel, which clearly sets forth our sonship as a matter of being born of God, that here John would speak of God giving the right to become God's children.

Is not sonship a matter of spiritual begettal and not a right to be bestowed? It was more obscure to me since the Greek word used is for children instead of full-grown sons. There the KJ version has it wrong. Then one day while reading E. Stanley Jones' book, "The Unshakable Kingdom and the Unchanging Person," I happened upon a definition of "authority," which applies to "right" also, by that dear, now departed brother.

Keep in mind that **John 1: 12**, if translated more fully would read "He gave them the right and/or authority to become children of God." Jones, in tracing the essential meaning of authority said that, at its heart it means "according to the nature of." Ah, there it was. By the nature of, first, my Father's relationship with me and out of that my relationship with Him I may become a child of God. That is, in the eon, I become what I am in and from eternity, and the process involves being delivered from what I am NOT, to become what I Am.

This brings us to another principle. It is that we can only become what we are. We become children of God because we are His children. For a season, we may be children of wrath and children of disobedience but we are His children waiting to be manifested. Those who have read or heard much of what this disciple has written and preached know the prized relationship I have with my dear spiritual Papa, Harry Robert Fox.

I know, I only have one Father in heaven, but Harry's relationship with me has been one where THE Father has caused Harry to participate in that Fatherhood and incarnate it in our friendship and fellowship. One time as we discussed something of the order of what I am writing about, He, very unassumingly pointed out this truth of the economy of God: All the imperatives (commands) of scripture are based upon the indicatives (pointing out what already is).

Case in point; (this is my case in point) the apostle Paul prays, as recorded in Ephesians 3:19, for the Church, that we will be filled with all the fulness of God But he has already declared the Church previously in the same book to be "the fulness of Him that filleth all in all" (Eph. 1:23). Here is an example of the imperative based upon, and proceeding from the indicative. So likewise, men must be born of God in order to see and enter the kingdom of God (John 3:3,5). We must be born from above (imperative) because we ARE born above (indicative). Out from the indicative issues forth the imperative.

Dear child of God, if sometimes the imperatives of your relationship with Christ are about to drive you to despair, remember, that which you must be, GOD HAS MADE YOU TO BE in His Son.

I have been a Christian for over 47 years now since He stormed into my life and commanded me, with a word that could not return Him void, to follow him and I am just beginning to realize the wonder, the glory, the inexpressible truth that I am a child of God, the fruit of His loins.

The majestic Father of glory, the Creator and Sustainer of all things is my Dad. It's finally getting hold of me and I know that nothing else has any real value compared to that, and that anything that has real value, even the dearest of relationships, finds it content and worth in Him. Look out world, one of God's kids is about to burst on the scene, one just like His first-begotten Son and there are millions more just like Him/me. God bless all of you who consider these things.

Stay tuned for future serious, seminal samplings.

John Gavazzoni